

NISHIKAWA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. S Q51098 **EXAMINER** MMC2/0829 CHANG, A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2872

DATE MAILED:

08/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

APPLICATION NO.

09/116,589

FILING DATE

07/16/98

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS

2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W

WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202



Advisory Action

Application No.

09/116,589

Applicant(s)

Nishikawa

Examiner

Audrey Chang

Group Art Unit 2872



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a) X expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 17, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
★ The proposed amendment(s):
🔀 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
will not be entered because:
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
NOTE:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
In the affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejections.
Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejections.
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
Claims rejected: 28, 29, and 62
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
Other Chang
Other Audrey Chang Audrey Examiner Primary Examiner Primary Center 2800
Technology Co
Other Audrey Change Examiner Primary Examiner Primary Center 2800 Technology